Organic & Chemistry

 C ito this: Ora, Piomo Cite this: *Org. Biomol. Chem.,* 2012, **10**, 1746

<www.rsc.org/obc> **COMMUNICATION**

α,β-Unsaturated imines via Ru-catalyzed coupling of allylic alcohols and amines†‡

Jared W. Rigoli, Sara A. Moyer, Simon D. Pearce and Jennifer M. Schomaker*

Received 14th November 2011, Accepted 21st December 2011 DOI: 10.1039/c2ob06921k

A convenient synthesis of α,β-unsaturated imines requiring only an allylic alcohol, an amine and a Ru catalyst has been developed. The use of large excesses of oxidant and the purification of sensitive intermediates can be avoided.

Unsaturated imines are valuable substrates for a number of C–C and C–N bond formations, including hetero-Diels–Alder, electrocyclization and C–H activation/coupling reactions.¹ They are typically prepared by oxidation of an allylic alcohol to the corresponding α,β-unsaturated aldehyde, followed by immediate condensation of the sensitive intermediate with an amine in the presence of a dehydrating agent.² Isolated examples of one-pot conversions of allylic alcohols directly to unsaturated imines have been reported; however, these methods often require large excesses of oxidants and dehydrating reagents.³ In this communication, we report a convenient synthesis of α,β-unsaturated imines that requires only an allylic alcohol, an amine, and a Ru catalyst. The simplicity of the method allows for the possibility of direct use of the imine products without the losses typically associated with the purification of these sensitive compounds. **Commutishedge Commutished Commutished By Commutished Commutished Commutished Commutished on 2012 Published on 2012 Published Commutished Commutished at Albany on 28 February 2012 Published Commutished Commutished at Alba**

Catalysts capable of coupling simple alcohols and amines without prior functionalization represent "green methods" for preparing esters, ethers, amides, and amines. $4-7$ These reactions may proceed via "hydrogen borrowing", where dehydrogenation of the substrate is followed by eventual return of the H_2 to the product. For example, catalyst system 1ac (Fig. 1) can yield secondary amines from primary alcohols and amines using 1,1′-bis (diphenylphosphino)ferrocene (dppf) as a ligand.^{7a} Oxidative coupling is also possible if H_2 can be eliminated from the reaction mixture. Catalyst 1ab yields amides from the reaction of a primary alcohol and an amine if a sacrificial hydrogen acceptor (acetophenone) is used with 1,4-bis(diphenylphosphino)butane $(dppb)$ as the ligand.^{4d} Catalyst 1b typically forms amides from primary alcohols and primary or secondary amines.^{4c} The PNP pincer 1c and the PNN pincer 1d catalysts developed by the

Milstein group are particularly useful and give quite different reactivities due to the increased hemi-lability of the diethylamino ligand of 1d compared to the di-tert-butyl phosphine ligand of 1c. Catalyst 1c gives the imine from primary alcohols and amines, while 1d yields the same amide product that would be obtained using catalyst 1b. 4b,6

Our interest in utilizing dehydrogenative couplings in the context of complex molecule synthesis prompted us to examine more highly functionalized alcohol substrates in these reactions. For example, treatment of a primary allylic alcohol with a primary amine could potentially yield six different products (Table 1, 2a–f) depending on the catalyst (1a–d) employed, as well as the reaction conditions. The use of allylic alcohols with

Fig. 1 Common catalysts for coupling alcohols and amines.

Table 1 Catalysts explored for coupling allylic alcohols with amines

 H_2N `Ph

 a^a NMR yields using mesitylene as internal standard.

Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin, Madison, 1101 University Ave, Madison, WI 53706, USA. E-mail: schomakerj@chem. wisc.edu; Fax: +1 608-265-3454; Tel: +1 608-265-2261

[†]Financial support was provided by start-up funds from the University of Wisconsin, Madison. The NMR facilities at UW-Madison are funded by the NSF (CHE-9208463, CHE-9629688) and NIH (RR08389-01). ‡Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures, NMR, and MS data. See DOI: 10.1039/c2ob06921k

1 _d R^1NH_2 `OH R^2 \mathbb{R}^4 R^2 toluene, reflux ^a				
entry	alcohol	amine	product	yield ^a (conversion) $(E:Z)$
$\mathbf{1}$,OH 3a	H_2N ^{ph} 4a	∠N、Ph 5	78% (100%)
2	3a	NH_2 MeO [®] 4b	.N、Ar 6	63% (100%)
3	3a	$-NH2$ 4c	$\ll N$ ⁻ C ₆ H ₁₁ $\overline{7}$	77% (100%)
4	3a	Ph ⁻ $4d$ ^{NH₂}	∕Ph sΝ. 8	74% (95%)
5	3a	$PhNH2$ 4e	.N. `Ph 9	$22\% (57\%)^b$
6	`OH 3 _b	4a	`Ph 10	72% (100%) (1.8:1)
7	3 _b	4c	$N^{-C_6H_{11}}$ 11	83% (100%) (2:1)
8	OH 3c <i>E</i> :Z 4:1	4a	NBn 12	$61\% (100\%) (6:1)$
9	OH 3d	4a	$\sqrt{\frac{N}{N}}$ 13	$70\% (100\%)$
10 11	-OH 3e, f	4a	$=$ NBn n 14, 15	$n = 2$; 38% $(30\%)^c$ $n = 1$; 15% $(51\%)^c$
12	Ph ⁻ `OH 3g	4a	Ph [`] NBn 16	46% (85%)
13	`OH 3h	4a	[∕] NBn 17	$26\% (86\%)$
14	`OH 3i	4a	\approx NBn 18	49% $(17\%)^d$ (95%)

^a Standard conditions: 3 M solution of amine (1 mmol) and alcohol (1 mmol) in toluene-d₈, 0.2 mmol mesitylene as an internal standard to determine NMR yields, 1 mol% catalyst, reflux, 24 h under a flow of N₂; 100% conversion of the alcohol unless otherwise noted. ^b The low yield resulted from incomplete conversion of the substrates. ^c Exocyclic double bond migrated to give the internal olefin. ^d Product is the amide.

Ru catalysts also poses several additional challenges; namely, competing isomerization and further reduction of the unsaturated imine/amide product.^{8,9} Indeed, the reaction of 3-methyl-but-2enol 3a with benzylamine in the presence of a Ru-dppb based system 1ab (entry 1, acetophenone as a hydrogen acceptor) yielded both 2a and a by-product 2b in low yields, instead of the expected products 2e or $2\hat{f}$.^{4d}

The catalyst system 1b (entry 2) gave the saturated amide 2f, consistent with the previous reactivity reported for 1b.^{4c} Catalyst 1ac, using dppf as the ligand instead of dppb (entry 3) gave a complex mixture of unidentified products instead of the expected 2c or 2d.^{7a} Despite the fact that the PNP catalyst $(1c,$ entry 4) has been reported to yield imines from primary alcohols and amines, the desired α , β -unsaturated imine 2a was formed in only 50% yield, along with significant amounts of 2b from undesired reduction of the olefinic double bond. Finally, to our surprise, Milstein's PNN catalyst (1d, entry 5) produced 2a as the only product in 78% yield, with no competing formation of the expected amides 2e or 2f.

Encouraged by the result obtained using the PNN version of Milstein's catalyst 1d, the scope of unsaturated imine formation was explored using a variety of allylic alcohols and primary amines (Table 2). The allylic alcohol 3-methyl-but-2-enol 3a, gave good yields of the α,β-unsaturated imines when electronrich primary amines 4a–d were used (entries 1–4). The less nucleophilic aniline (entry 5) gave lower yields of the unsaturated imine due to slow conversion.^{4b} Geraniol $(3b)$ gave good yields of the corresponding unsaturated imines (entries 6 and 7), but significant *cis* : *trans* isomerization of the proximal olefin occurred to yield approximately a $2:1$ mixture of E and Z isomers, the same ratio observed when 10 and 11 are prepared *via* conventional methods.¹⁰ In contrast, when the trisubstituted allylic alcohol 3c was used, the initial 4:1 mixture of $E:Z$ isomers improved to a $6:1$ ratio of $E:Z$ isomers (entry 8).

(S)-Perillyl alcohol 3d (entry 9) also gave good yields of the unsaturated imine 13. Importantly, the use of the geraniol and perillyl alcohol substrates 3b and 3d demonstrated that other isolated olefins were not prone to reduction under the reaction conditions.

Ru catalysts are well-known to promote olefin migration.¹¹ Isomerization of the exocyclic double bonds of 3e and 3f to the internal olefins competed with the formation of the desired unsaturated imines 14 and 15 (entries 10–11). The chiral center of 3d provided us an opportunity to determine if internal olefin migration could also occur under the reaction conditions. The absolute configuration of the stereocenter would be inverted if isomerization occurred, resulting in degradation of the optical purity of the substrate. However, when 13 was rapidly hydrolyzed back to the aldehyde and reduced to 3d, the degradation in the ee was minimal, indicating that migration of the double bond was not occurring to any significant extent (see the Supporting Information for details‡).

Finally, while trisubstituted allylic alcohols provided high yields, decreased substitution on the olefin (entries 12–13) led to lower yields of unsaturated imine products. The decrease in steric bulk around the olefin gave competing side reactions, including homocoupling of two molecules of amine to form the imine.⁶

The propensity for primary allylic alcohols to yield α , β -unsaturated imines, rather the amide products typically seen with catalyst 1d, was initially rather surprising. The increase in conjugation upon forming the unsaturated imine may drive the loss of water more readily than in cases involving typical aliphatic alcohols; however, we did not explore the mechanism of the reaction in any detail. Nonetheless, treatment of benzyl alcohol and benzylamine with 1d (entry 14), a condition that by inference to previously reported results should give mainly amide product, gave 49% of the imine and only 17% of the amide.^{4b,6}

The reasons for the disparity between the use of 1c (previously reported to give saturated imines from primary alcohols and amines) and 1d are not clear to us. Neither catalyst gave the amide product, but 1c did yield substantial amounts of the saturated imine 2b (Table 1, entry 4). This product could result from either reduction of the α,β-unsaturated imine with H_2 released in the reaction, or from a Ru-promoted redox isomerization of the allylic alcohol to the saturated aldehyde, followed by condensation with the amine. Attempts to promote the redox isomerization of a primary allylic alcohol in the absence of amine led to mixtures of products, potentially due to homocoupling of the alcohol and acetal formation.⁵ However, secondary allylic alcohols (Table 3) did yield ketones under the reaction conditions.^{8,9} Alkyl and aryl-substituted allylic alcohols 19–21 and 25 smoothly gave the ketone products in good yields (entries $1-3$, 7). The propargyl alcohol 22 (entry 4) was not a competent substrate, while the redox isomerization of a bis-allylic alcohol 23 (entry 5) gave predominately the product 23a resulting from isomerization at the terminal olefin. The presence of a pyridine nitrogen slowed the reaction significantly (entry 6), giving only 28% of 24a after 24 h.

Although the mechanistic details are unclear at this point, it is likely that the reaction pathway for primary alcohols follows a similar one to that proposed by Milstein.^{4,6} The allylic alcohol

Table 3 Redox isomerization of secondary allylic alcohols using 1d

^a Standard conditions: 3 M solution of amine (1 mmol) and alcohol (1 mmol) in toluene-d₈, 1 mol% catalyst, reflux, 24 h under a flow of $N₂$; 100% conversion of the alcohol unless otherwise noted.

Scheme 1 Proposed mechanism for the preparation of α,β-unsaturated imines from Ru-catalyzed coupling of allylic alcohols and amines.

25 (Scheme 1) can coordinate to the catalyst 1d after deprotonation to give 26. Loss of the hemi-labile $NEt₂$ ligand, followed by β-hydride elimination would yield an intermediate α,β-unsaturated aldehyde 27 still coordinated to the metal center. At this point, we envisage two different pathways. First, the unsaturated aldehyde 28 could be released from the metal by re-coordination of the NEt₂ ligand to give 29, which could lose H_2 to regenerate the active catalyst 1d. Condensation of the unsaturated aldehyde 28 with an amine would yield the desired unsaturated imine. A second possibility is attack of the coordinated aldehyde by the amine to give an intermediate hemiaminal, which could either undergo β-hydride elimination to give the unsaturated amide (not observed), or rapidly lose water to yield the imine. Further mechanistic studies are needed to distinguish between these two potential pathways and rationalize the differences in reactivity between 1c and 1d in the coupling of primary allylic alcohols and amines.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated a mild and atom-economical approach towards the synthesis of α,β-unsaturated imines from primary allylic alcohols and amines using a commercially available ruthenium catalyst. No suprastoichiometric amounts of oxidants or dehydrating reagents are required. The reaction performs best with trisubstituted allylic alcohols and the reaction conditions are mild enough to preserve other unsaturated functional groups in the molecule from reduction. The ability of 1d to catalyze the efficient redox isomerization of secondary allylic alcohols to the corresponding ketones was also demonstrated for the first time. Future efforts will focus on utilizing these atomeconomical approaches in complex molecule synthesis and in convenient tandem reactions. **Download at the control on a state University of New York at Albany on 22**
 State University of the control on 22 Published on 22 Published on 22 December 2012 Published at Albany 2012 Published at Albany 2012 Publishe

Notes and references

- 1 For selected references on the uses of unsaturated imines in synthesis, see: (a) D. A. Colby, R. G. Bergman and J. A. Ellman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, **128**, 5604; (b) D. A. Colby, R. G. Bergman and J. A. Ellman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 3645; (c) D. F. Maynard and W. H. Okamura, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1995, 60, 1763; (d) B. Groenendaal, E. Ruijter and R. V. A. Orru, Chem. Commun., 2008, 5474; (e) M. Shimizu, I. Hachiya and I. Mizota, Chem. Commun., 2009, 874; (f) K. M. Oberg and T. Rovis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 4785.
- 2 (a) J. Gawronski, N. Wascinska and J. Gajewy, Chem. Rev., 2008, 108, 5227; (b) J. P. Adams, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2000, 125.
- 3 For selected references, see: (a) J. W. Kim, J. He, K. Yamaguchi and N. Mizuno, Chem. Lett., 2009, 38, 920; (b) H. Sun, F. Su, J. Ni, Y. Cao, H. He and K. Fan, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 4390; (c) J. S. Foot, H. Kanno, G. M. P. Giblin and R. J. K. Taylor, Synthesis, 2003, 7, 1055; (d) L. Blackburn and R. J. K. Taylor, Org. Lett., 2001, 3, 1637; (e) T. Zweifel, J. Naubron and H. Grutzmacher, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 559.
- 4 Examples of the formation of amides from alcohols and amines: (a) L. U. Nordstrom, H. Vogt and R. Madsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 17672; (b) C. Gunanathan, Y. Ben-David and D. Milstein, Science, 2007, 317, 790; (c) S. Muthaiah, S. C. Ghosh, J. Jee, C. Chen, J. Zhang and S. H. Hong, J. Org. Chem., 2010, 75, 3002; (d) A. J. A. Watson, A. C. Maxwell and J. M. J. Williams, Org. Lett., 2009, 11, 2667; (e) S. Murahashi and T. Naota, Synlett, 1991, 693; (f) S. C. Ghosh, S. Muthaiah, Y. Zhang, X. Xu and S. H. Hong, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2009, 351, 2643; (g) Y. Zhang, C. Chen, S. C. Ghosh, Y. Li and S. H. Hong, Organometallics, 2010, 29, 1374; (h) X. Cui, F. Shi, M. K. Tse, D. Goerdes, K. Thurow, M. Beller and Y. Deng, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2009, 351, 2949.
- 5 Examples of the formation of esters from alcohols: (a) J. Zhang, G. Leitus, Y. Ben-David and D. Milstein, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 10840.
- 6 Imines from alcohols and amines: B. Gnanaprakasam, J. Zhang and D. Milstein, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 1468.
- 7 Examples of the formation of N-alkylated amines from amines and alcohols: (a) M. H. Hamid, C. L. Allen, G. W. Lamb, A. C. Maxwell, H. C. Maytum, A. J. A. Watson and J. M. J. Williams, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 1766; (b) K. Yamaguchi, J. He, T. Oishi and N. Mizuno, Chem.–Eur. J., 2010, 16, 7199.
- 8 For a review on metal-mediated transposition of allylic alcohols into carbonyl compounds, see: R. Uma, C. Crevisy and R. Gree, Chem. Rev., 2003, 103, 27.
- 9 For selected references on redox isomerization of allylic alcohols to ketones and aldehydes, see: (a) B. M. Trost and R. J. Kulawiec, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 2027; (b) M. Ito, S. Kitahara and T. Ikariya, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 6172; (c) B. M. Trost and R. J. Kulawiec, Tetrahedron Lett., 1991, 32, 3039.
- 10 C. Arbones, F. J. Sanchez, M.-P. Marco, F. Camps and A. Messeguer, Heterocycles, 1990, 31, 67.
- 11 (a) D. V. McGrath and R. H. Grubbs, Organometallics, 1994, 13, 224; (b) J. E. Lyons, J. Org. Chem., 1971, 36, 2497; (c) J. K. Stille and Y. Becker, J. Org. Chem., 1980, 45, 2139.